





Apply a life cycle approach to determine canola biojet fuel's life cycle emissions and how it compares with petroleum jet fuel

Determine where changes in the canola biojet fuel life cycle can best be made to lead to meaningful reductions in GHG emissions

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to acknowledge the following organizations for funds that contributed to this research:











The Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Canadian Aviation Biofuels

Principal Investigators: Bradley A. Saville & Heather L. MacLean Jon Albert Obnamia, Ph.D. Student (jonalbert.obnamia@utoronto.ca)

Use Aspen Plus to develop a biojet fuel production model that can calculate the energy and material requirements of commercial scale biofuel production using promising conversion technologies (Fig.4)





Aviation Biofuel Performance

- Three biojet fuel pathways were examined based on differences in canola farming data from Manitoba, Saskatchewan, and Alberta
- Nitrogen fertilizer, natural gas, and hydrogen contributed most to canola biojet fuel's GHG footprint (these are opportunities for emissions reduction)









- GHG emissions from canola production was relatively higher than fuel production: 22 to 30 gCO₂eq/MJ (canola) vs 19 to 21 gCO₂eq/MJ (fuel)
- The carbon content of biojet fuel was fully attributed to carbon sequestered as CO₂ intake during canola cultivation, which neutralizes the positive CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion

Overall, adopting biojet fuel based on Canadian canola has the *potential* to reduce GHG emissions of jet fuels by 42-49%

Future Research Work

- Conduct sensitivity and Monte Carlo analyses to investigate the range of environmental performance and key canola/fuel production parameters
- Investigate the impacts of induced land use changes, given the transition of non-cultivated lands into dedicated lands for biojet fuel production





(Fuel Combustion)

Biogenic Carbon Credit (carbon from air that went into biomass growth)





