

Centre for



Carbon, Water, and Energy Dynamics of a Pine Forest during the First Decade Since Plantation on Former Cropland Chan, F.C.C.¹, Arain, M.A.¹, Khomik, M.¹, McKenzie, S.¹, Brodeur, J. J.¹, Croft, H.^{2,} Thorne, R.¹, Peichl, M.¹, and Restrepo-Coupe, N.¹ ¹ School of Geography and Earth Sciences and McMaster Centre for Climate Change, McMaster University ²Department of Geography and Planning, University of Toronto

Introduction

Climate Change

- The majority of North American temperate conifer forests are secondary growth **plantation stands**, a consequence of large-scale deforestation in the 19th and 20th centuries.
- **Enhancing carbon (C) sequestration by increasing forested land** area (e.g. plantation forests) is one of the most cost-effective options to **mitigate elevated atmospheric CO₂ levels** and hence contribute towards the **prevention of global warming** [1].
- The quantification of C exchange and productivity rates of new plantations are therefore of major interest to **forest industries** and government policy makers.
- However, the C sequestration trajectory of new forests planted on lands that historically have not contained forests is **still poorly** understood.
- To date, only a few **decadal-scale CO₂ flux studies** have been published.

- Well-drained, sandy soils with **low residual soil organic matter**.



NEP < 0: Ecosystem loses CO_2 to the atmosphere = source

Characterize the **length of time** it takes for new white pine plantations to become a **sink of carbon**.

2. Investigate key **climatic** and **structural controls** that drive carbon fluxes and how their impacts change in the first decade of afforested stand development.

Methods

- **moisture** and **soil temperature** taken from sensors at various depths.





Figure 3. Annual carbon flux (a), daily mean volumetric water content (b), daily total net ecosystem production (c), and daily total evapotranspiration (d). The annual totals of NEP and ET are displayed above.

References

1. IPCC, 2014: Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp. 2. Kula, M.V., 2013. Biometric-Based Carbon Estimates and Environmental Controls within an Age-Sequence of Temperate Forests. McMaster University. 3. Skubel, R., Arain, M.A., Peichl, M., Brodeur, J.J., Khomik, M., 2014. Age Effects on the Water Use Efficiency and Water Balance of Temperate Pine Plantation Forests

• A tower based **Closed Path Eddy Covariance** (CPEC) system continuously collects $\frac{1}{2}$ hourly energy, CO₂, and H₂O fluxes between the forest and the atmosphere. Weather instruments provide site scale meteorology. Ancillary measurements of soil

> Figure 2. a) Eddy covariance tower extending above the canopy, b) 3-D sonic anemometer (CSAT), and c) Infrared gas analyzer (IRGA).

Figure 4. Daily total precipitation (P). Annual P is displayed above.

- Consistent sink of carbon after 4 years.
- NEP experiences a reduction in late summer, due to low P and/or VWC limitations (especially 2007 and 2012).
- Impact of seasonal drought was much more pronounced if it was combined with heat events (i.e. 2005).
- From 2006 onwards differences in VWC_{5cm} and VWC_{50cm} gradually diminish, reflecting the expanding root system and increased canopy shading.
- ET values increased slightly, with a low inter-annual variability; also affected by VWC limitations.





Figure 6. The growing season (a) relationship between GEP and PAR and (b) relationship between GEP and ET (WUE).

- **Photosynthetic capacity** (A_{max}) and **quantum yield** (α) increased steadily as the size and density of the canopy increased [2].
- The drastic increase in A_{max} but not α , from 2007 to 2008 indicates that the photosynthetic capabilities of the canopy have increased greatly due to **increased LAI**.
- Stand structure allowed the forest to **continue to grow** while being conservative with water usage [3], thus maximizing WUE.

Conclusion

Acknowledgements Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Canadian Ministry of Environment, Environment Canada, Natural Resources Canada, Canada Foundation for Innovation, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Ontario Innovation Trust, Long Point Regional Conservation Authority, St. Williams Conservation Reserve Community, and Bruce Whitside (landowner).



Results and Discussion

- After 5 years, LAI increases steadily and overtakes herbaceous growth.
- By 2013, the canopy was dense and closed, consisting of white pines which shaded out all understory vegetation.

Figure 5. (a) Leaf area index (LAI) from Landsat 5 and Landsat 7 at the 30m resolution. (b) Mean annual tree height. (c) Mean tree diameter at tree base (Dbase) and diameter at breast height (DBH) measurements.

• Energy, C, and water fluxes were largely **influenced by canopy** development. Strong seasonal trends of VWC drawdown and replenishment were **influenced by the root system** in later years. • The low soil C stock within the former cropland allowed the site to have higher NEP rates earlier and **become a C sink much quicker** than most temperate conifer forests in North America. Our findings demonstrate the potential of white pine as a viable plantation species to sequester atmospheric CO₂ in North American regions with similar site characteristics (i.e. cropland).

Follow us



McMasterClimateCentre